A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Henry D. Pfister

Based on joint work with Yung-Yih Jian, Santhosh Kumar, Krishna R. Narayanan, Phong S. Nguyen, and Arvind Yedla

Chinese University of Hong Kong June 22nd, 2015 Review of LDPC Codes and Density Evolution

Spatially-Coupled Graphical Models

Universality for Multiuser Scenarios

Abstract Formulation of Threshold Saturation

Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker

Conclusions

Review of LDPC Codes and Density Evolution

Spatially-Coupled Graphical Models

Universality for Multiuser Scenarios

Abstract Formulation of Threshold Saturation

Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker

Conclusions

A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Point-to-Point Communication

Coding for Discrete-Time Memoryless Channels

- Transition probability: $P_{Y|X}(y|x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$
- Transmit a length-n codeword $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}^n$
- Shannon Capacity
 - ▶ Random code of rate $R \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \log_2 |C|$ (bits per channel use)
 - As $n \to \infty$, reliable transmission if $R < C \triangleq \max_{p(x)} I(X;Y)$
- Example: the binary erasure channel $BEC(\varepsilon)$
 - ▶ Bits sent perfectly (with prob. 1ε) or erased (with prob. ε)
 - Capacity: $C = 1 \varepsilon$ = fraction unerased bits
 - Roughly one info bit transmitted for each unerased reception

Low-Density Parity-Check (LDPC) Codes



 \blacktriangleright Linear codes with a sparse parity-check matrix H

- Regular (l, r): *H* has *l* ones per column and *r* ones per row
- Irregular: number of ones given by degree distribution (λ, ρ)
- Introduced by Gallager in 1960; largely forgotten until 1995
- Tanner Graph
 - An edge connects check node *i* to bit node *j* if $H_{ij} = 1$
 - Naturally leads to message-passing iterative (MPI) decoding

Decoding LDPC Codes

Belief-Propagation (BP) Decoder

- Low-complexity message-passing decoder by Gallager
- Probability estimates are passed along edges in the Tanner graph
- Updates based on assuming incoming estimates are independent
- Density Evolution (DE)
 - Tracks distribution of messages during iterative decoding
 - BP noise threshold can be computed via DE
 - Long codes decode almost surely if DE predicts success
- Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Decoder
 - Optimum decoder that chooses the most likely codeword
 - Infeasible in practice due to enormous number of codewords
 - MAP noise threshold can be bounded using EXIT curves

A Little History

Robert Gallager

introduced LDPC codes in 1962 paper

1962

IRE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY

Low-Density Parity-Check Codes*

R. G. GALLAGER[†]

Summary—A low-density parity-check code is a code specified by a parity-check matrix with the following properties catch column contains a small fixed number $j \geq 3$ of 1's and each row contains a small fixed number k > 3 of 1's. The typical minimum distance of these codes increases linearly with block length for a fixed rate and (control) quick binary-depart symmetric channel, the typical probability of decoding error decreases exponentially with block length for a fixed rate and fixed j.

A simple but nonoptimum decoding scheme operating directly from the channel a posteriori probabilities is described. Both the equations. We call the set of digits contained in a paritycheck equation a parity-check set. For example, the first parity-check set in Fig. 1 is the set of digits (1, 2, 3, 5).

21

The use of parity-sheek codes makes coding (as distinguished from decoding) relatively simple to implement. Also, as Elias [3] has shown, if a typical parity-check code of long block length is used on a binary symmetric channel, and if the code rate is between *critical rate* and channel eapacity, then the probability of decoding error

Judea Pearl



defined general belief-propagation in 1986 paper

Fusion, Propagation, and Structuring in Belief Networks*

Judea Pearl

Cognitive Systems Laboratory, Computer Science Department, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024, U.S.A.

Recommended by Patrick Hayes

ABSTRACT

Belig strowsks are directed azyclic graphs in which the nodes represent proposition (or variable), the arcs signif after dependencies to herene the linked propositions, end the strength of these dependencies are quantified by conditional probabilities. A network of this sort can be used to represent the generic knowledge of a domain expert, and it turns into a compositional architecture if the links are used not meetly for storing facual knowledge but also for directing and activating the data flow in the comparison which manipulate thit knowledge.

Bit and check nodes define the set of valid codewords

- Circles represent a single bit value shared by checks
- Squares assert attached bits sum to 0 mod 2
- Iterative decoding on the binary erasure channel (BEC)
 - Estimates of bit values are passed along edges in phases
 - Ist phase: bits pass messages to adjacent checks
 - 2nd phase: checks pass messages to adjacent bits
 - Each output message depends on other input messages
 - Messages are always either the correct value or an erasure

- Message passing rules for the BEC
 - Bits pass an erasure only if all other inputs are erased
 - Checks pass the correct value only if all other inputs are correct



- Message passing rules for the BEC
 - Bits pass an erasure only if all other inputs are erased
 - Checks pass the correct value only if all other inputs are correct



- Message passing rules for the BEC
 - Bits pass an erasure only if all other inputs are erased
 - Checks pass the correct value only if all other inputs are correct



- Message passing rules for the BEC
 - Bits pass an erasure only if all other inputs are erased
 - Checks pass the correct value only if all other inputs are correct



 \blacktriangleright If input messages are independently erased with prob. x



- Message passing rules for the BEC
 - Bits pass an erasure only if all other inputs are erased
 - Checks pass the correct value only if all other inputs are correct



 \blacktriangleright If input messages are independently erased with prob. x



- Message passing rules for the BEC
 - Bits pass an erasure only if all other inputs are erased
 - Checks pass the correct value only if all other inputs are correct



 \blacktriangleright If input messages are independently erased with prob. x





- Illustrates decoding from the perspective of a single bit-node
- For long random LDPC codes, the graph is typically a tree
- Allows density evolution to track message erasure probability
- On each level, messages are independently erased with fixed prob.



- Illustrates decoding from the perspective of a single bit-node
- For long random LDPC codes, the graph is typically a tree
- Allows density evolution to track message erasure probability
- On each level, messages are independently erased with fixed prob.



- Illustrates decoding from the perspective of a single bit-node
- For long random LDPC codes, the graph is typically a tree
- Allows density evolution to track message erasure probability
- On each level, messages are independently erased with fixed prob.



- Illustrates decoding from the perspective of a single bit-node
- For long random LDPC codes, the graph is typically a tree
- Allows density evolution to track message erasure probability
- On each level, messages are independently erased with fixed prob.



- Illustrates decoding from the perspective of a single bit-node
- For long random LDPC codes, the graph is typically a tree
- Allows density evolution to track message erasure probability
- On each level, messages are independently erased with fixed prob.



- Illustrates decoding from the perspective of a single bit-node
- ► For long random LDPC codes, the graph is typically a tree
- Allows density evolution to track message erasure probability
- On each level, messages are independently erased with fixed prob.

Density Evolution (DE) for LDPC Codes



▶ DE tracks bit-to-check msg erasure rate x_{ℓ} after ℓ iterations

Density Evolution (DE) for LDPC Codes



▶ DE tracks bit-to-check msg erasure rate x_{ℓ} after ℓ iterations

• x_{ℓ} decreases to a limit $x_{\infty}(\varepsilon)$ that depends on ε

A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Density Evolution (DE) for LDPC Codes



▶ DE tracks bit-to-check msg erasure rate x_{ℓ} after ℓ iterations

- x_ℓ decreases to a limit $x_\infty(\varepsilon)$ that depends on ε
- As n → ∞, decoding succeeds if ε less than the BP noise threshold
 ε^{BP} = sup{ε ∈ [0, 1] | x_∞(ε) = 0} (easily computed numerically)



- ► (3,4)-regular LDPC code
 - Codeword (X_1, \ldots, X_n)
 - Received (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)



- (3,4)-regular LDPC code
 - Codeword (X_1, \ldots, X_n)
 - Received (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)
- BP EXIT curve via DE
 - This code: $h^{\mathrm{BP}}(\varepsilon) = (x_{\infty}(\varepsilon))^3$
 - 0 below BP threshold 0.647



- (3,4)-regular LDPC code
 - Codeword (X_1, \ldots, X_n)
 - Received (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)
- BP EXIT curve via DE
 - This code: $h^{\mathrm{BP}}(\varepsilon) = (x_{\infty}(\varepsilon))^3$
 - 0 below BP threshold 0.647
- ► MAP EXIT curve is extrinsic entropy H(X_i|Y_{~i}) vs. channel ε
 - 0 below MAP threshold 0.746
 - ► Area under curve equals rate *R*
 - Upper bounded by BP EXIT



- (3,4)-regular LDPC code
 - Codeword (X_1, \ldots, X_n)
 - Received (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)
- BP EXIT curve via DE
 - This code: $h^{\mathrm{BP}}(\varepsilon) = (x_{\infty}(\varepsilon))^3$
 - 0 below BP threshold 0.647
- ► MAP EXIT curve is extrinsic entropy H(X_i|Y_{~i}) vs. channel ε
 - 0 below MAP threshold 0.746
 - ► Area under curve equals rate *R*
 - Upper bounded by BP EXIT
- MAP threshold upper bound ε^{MAP}
 ε s.t. area under BP EXIT equals R

Review of LDPC Codes and Density Evolution

Spatially-Coupled Graphical Models

Universality for Multiuser Scenarios

Abstract Formulation of Threshold Saturation

Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker

Conclusions

A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation









- Historical Notes
 - LDPC convolutional codes introduced by FZ in 1999
 - Shown to have near optimal noise thresholds by LSZC in 2005
 - (l, r, L, w) ensemble proven to achieve capacity by KRU in 2011

A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, VOL. 56, NO. 10, OCTOBER 2010

Iterative Decoding Threshold Analysis for LDPC Convolutional Codes

Michael Lentmaier, Member, IEEE, Arvind Sridharan, Member, IEEE, Daniel J. Costello, Jr., Life Fellow, IEEE, and Kamil Sh. Zigangirov, Fellow, IEEE



5274

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, VOL. 57, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2011

Threshold Saturation via Spatial Coupling: Why Convolutional LDPC Ensembles Perform So Well over the BEC

Shrinivas Kudekar, Member, IEEE, Thomas J. Richardson, Fellow, IEEE, and Rüdiger L. Urbanke



803

Density Evolution for the (l, r, L, w)-SC LDPC Ensemble



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Density Evolution for the (l, r, L, w)-SC LDPC Ensemble



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation


A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Properties of Threshold Saturation

l	r	$\varepsilon^{\mathrm{BP}}$	$\varepsilon^{\mathrm{MAP}}$
3	6	0.4294	0.4882
4	8	0.3834	0.4977
5	10	0.3416	0.4995
6	12	0.3075	0.4999
7	14	0.2798	0.5000

- Spatial coupling achieves the MAP threshold as $w \to \infty$
 - BP threshold typically decreases after l = 3
 - MAP threshold is increasing in l, r for fixed rate
- Benefits and Drawbacks
 - ▶ For fixed *L*, minimum distance grows linearly with block length
 - Rate loss of O(w/L) is a big obstacle in practice

Threshold Saturation via Spatial Coupling

- General Phenomenon (observed by Kudekar, Richardson, Urbanke)
 - BP threshold of the spatially-coupled system converges to the MAP threshold of the uncoupled system
 - Can be proven rigorously in many cases!

Threshold Saturation via Spatial Coupling

- General Phenomenon (observed by Kudekar, Richardson, Urbanke)
 - BP threshold of the spatially-coupled system converges to the MAP threshold of the uncoupled system
 - Can be proven rigorously in many cases!
- Connection to statistical physics
 - Factor graph defines system of coupled particles
 - Valid sequences are ordered crystalline structures

Threshold Saturation via Spatial Coupling

- General Phenomenon (observed by Kudekar, Richardson, Urbanke)
 - BP threshold of the spatially-coupled system converges to the MAP threshold of the uncoupled system
 - Can be proven rigorously in many cases!
- Connection to statistical physics
 - Factor graph defines system of coupled particles
 - Valid sequences are ordered crystalline structures
- Between BP and MAP threshold, system acts as supercooled liquid
 - Correct answer (crystalline state) has minimum energy
 - Crystallization (i.e., decoding) does not occur without a seed
 - ▶ Ex.: ice melts at 0 °C but freezing w/o a seed requires −48.3 °C

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xe8vJrlvDQM

Why is Spatial Coupling Interesting?

- Breakthroughs: first practical constructions of
 - universal codes for binary-input memoryless channels [KRU12]
 - information-theoretically optimal compressive sensing [DJM11]
 - universal codes for Slepian-Wolf and MAC problems [YJNP11]
 - \blacktriangleright codes \rightarrow capacity with iterative hard-decision decoding [JNP12]
 - codes \rightarrow rate-distortion limit with iterative decoding [AMUV12]

Why is Spatial Coupling Interesting?

- Breakthroughs: first practical constructions of
 - universal codes for binary-input memoryless channels [KRU12]
 - information-theoretically optimal compressive sensing [DJM11]
 - universal codes for Slepian-Wolf and MAC problems [YJNP11]
 - \blacktriangleright codes \rightarrow capacity with iterative hard-decision decoding [JNP12]
 - codes \rightarrow rate-distortion limit with iterative decoding [AMUV12]
- It allows rigorous proof in many cases
 - Original proofs [KRU11/12] quite specific to LDPC codes
 - Our proof is for increasing scalar/vector recursions [YJNP12/13]

Why is Spatial Coupling Interesting?

- Breakthroughs: first practical constructions of
 - universal codes for binary-input memoryless channels [KRU12]
 - information-theoretically optimal compressive sensing [DJM11]
 - universal codes for Slepian-Wolf and MAC problems [YJNP11]
 - codes \rightarrow capacity with iterative hard-decision decoding [JNP12]
 - \blacktriangleright codes \rightarrow rate-distortion limit with iterative decoding [AMUV12]
- It allows rigorous proof in many cases
 - Original proofs [KRU11/12] quite specific to LDPC codes
 - Our proof is for increasing scalar/vector recursions [YJNP12/13]
- Spatial coupling as a proof technique [GMU13]
 - ► For a large random factor graph, construct a coupled version
 - Use DE to analyze BP decoding of coupled system
 - Compare uncoupled MAP with coupled BP via interpolation

Review of LDPC Codes and Density Evolution

Spatially-Coupled Graphical Models

Universality for Multiuser Scenarios

Abstract Formulation of Threshold Saturation

Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker

Conclusions

A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Universality over Unknown Parameters

- ► The Achievable Channel Parameter Region (ACPR)
 - For a sequence of coding schemes involving one or more parameters, the parameter region where decoding succeeds in the limit
 - In contrast, a capacity region is a rate region for fixed channels



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Universality over Unknown Parameters

- ► The Achievable Channel Parameter Region (ACPR)
 - For a sequence of coding schemes involving one or more parameters, the parameter region where decoding succeeds in the limit
 - In contrast, a capacity region is a rate region for fixed channels
- Properties
 - For fixed encoders, the ACPR depends on the decoders
 - For example, one has $BP-ACPR \subseteq MAP-ACPR$
 - ▶ Often, \exists unique maximal ACPR given by information theory

Universality over Unknown Parameters

- ► The Achievable Channel Parameter Region (ACPR)
 - For a sequence of coding schemes involving one or more parameters, the parameter region where decoding succeeds in the limit
 - In contrast, a capacity region is a rate region for fixed channels
- Properties
 - For fixed encoders, the ACPR depends on the decoders
 - For example, one has $BP-ACPR \subseteq MAP-ACPR$
 - ▶ Often, \exists unique maximal ACPR given by information theory
- Universality
 - A sequence of encoding/decoding schemes is called universal if: its ACPR equals the optimal ACPR
 - Channel parameters are assumed unknown at the transmitter
 - At the receiver, the channel parameters are easily estimated

2-User Binary-Input Gaussian Multiple Access Channel



- Fixed noise variance
- Real channel gains h_1 and h_2 not known at transmitter
- Each code has rate R
- MAC-ACPR denotes the information-theoretic optimal region

A Little History: SC for Multiple-Access (MAC) Channels

▶ KK consider a binary-adder erasure channel (ISIT 2011)

- SC exhibits threshold saturation for the joint decoder
- YNPN consider the Gaussian MAC (ISIT/Allerton 2011)
 - SC exhibits threshold saturation for the joint decoder
 - For channel gains h₁, h₂ unknown at transmitter, SC provides universality
- Others consider CDMA systems without coding
 - TTK show SC improves BP demod of standard CDMA
 - ST prove saturation for a SC protograph-style CDMA

Spatially-Coupled Factor Graph for Joint Decoder



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Spatially-Coupled Factor Graph for Joint Decoder



Spatially-Coupled Factor Graph for Joint Decoder





A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation



A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

Review of LDPC Codes and Density Evolution

Spatially-Coupled Graphical Models

Universality for Multiuser Scenarios

Abstract Formulation of Threshold Saturation

Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker

Conclusions

A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

$$y^{(\ell+1)} = g\left(x^{(\ell)}\right)$$
$$x^{(\ell+1)} = f\left(y^{(\ell+1)}\right)$$

$$y^{(\ell+1)} = g\left(x^{(\ell)}\right) = 1 - (1-x)^3$$

$$x^{(\ell+1)} = f\left(y^{(\ell+1)}\right) = \varepsilon x^2$$

Ex. (3,4) LDPC

$$y^{(\ell+1)} = g\left(x^{(\ell)}\right) = 1 - (1-x)^3$$

$$x^{(\ell+1)} = f\left(y^{(\ell+1)}\right) = \varepsilon x^2$$

Ex. (3,4) LDPC

Characterizes the performance of a single system

$$y^{(\ell+1)} = g\left(x^{(\ell)}\right) = 1 - (1-x)^3$$

$$x^{(\ell+1)} = f\left(y^{(\ell+1)}\right) = \varepsilon x^2$$

Ex. (3,4) LDPC

- Characterizes the performance of a single system
- Monotonicity and continuity of f, g imply convergence: $x^{(\ell)} \searrow x^{(\infty)}$ and $y^{(\ell)} \searrow y^{(\infty)}$ to a fixed point

An Abstract Approach to Spatial Coupling (2)

The coupled recursion is given, for $i \in [N+w-1]$, by $x_i^{(0)} = 1$ and

$$y_i^{(\ell+1)} = g\left(x_i^{(\ell)}\right)$$
$$x_i^{(\ell+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+w-1} A_{j,i} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^N A_{j,k} y_k^{(\ell+1)}\right)$$
$$A = \frac{1}{w} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \ddots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

An Abstract Approach to Spatial Coupling (2)

The coupled recursion is given, for $i \in [N+w-1]$, by $x_i^{(0)} = 1$ and

$$y_i^{(\ell+1)} = g\left(x_i^{(\ell)}\right)$$
$$x_i^{(\ell+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+w-1} A_{j,i} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^N A_{j,k} y_k^{(\ell+1)}\right)$$

In vector notation, we have $\underline{x}^{(0)}\!=\!\underline{1}$ and

$$\underline{y}^{(\ell+1)} = \underline{g}\left(\underline{x}^{(\ell)}\right)$$
$$\underline{x}^{(\ell+1)} = A^{\top}\underline{f}\left(A \ \underline{y}^{(\ell+1)}\right)$$

An Abstract Approach to Spatial Coupling (2)

The coupled recursion is given, for $i \in [N+w-1]$, by $x_i^{(0)} = 1$ and

$$y_i^{(\ell+1)} = g\left(x_i^{(\ell)}\right)$$
$$x_i^{(\ell+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+w-1} A_{j,i} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^N A_{j,k} y_k^{(\ell+1)}\right)$$

In vector notation, we have $\underline{x}^{(0)}\!=\!\underline{1}$ and

$$\underline{y}^{(\ell+1)} = \underline{g}\left(\underline{x}^{(\ell)}\right)$$
$$\underline{x}^{(\ell+1)} = A^{\top}\underline{f}\left(A \ \underline{y}^{(\ell+1)}\right)$$

• Monotonicity and continuity of f, g again imply convergence: $x_i^{(\ell)} \searrow x_i^{(\infty)}$ and $y_i^{(\ell)} \searrow y_i^{(\infty)}$ to a coupled fixed point
Example: DE for Spatially-Coupled LDPC Codes



▶ N bit-node and $M \triangleq N + w - 1$ check-node sections

- Erasure probability by section: $\underline{x}, y \in [0, 1]^M$ and $\underline{v}, \underline{z} \in [0, 1]^N$
- Coupling matrix: $A_{j,i}$ is the fraction of π_j edges attached to π'_i
- ▶ Vector updates: $[\underline{f}(\underline{y})]_j = f(y_j), \ j \in [N] \text{ and } [\underline{g}(\underline{x})]_i = g(x_i), \ i \in [M]$

Single-System Potential and Convergence to Zero

• Let the potential function $U_{\rm s}(x)$ of the recursion be

$$U_{s}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} \left(\underbrace{z - f(g(z))}_{\geq 0 \text{ if } f(g(z)) \leq z}\right) \underbrace{g'(z)}_{\geq 0} dz$$
$$= xg(x) - G(x) - F(g(x)),$$

where $F(x) = \int_0^x f(z) dz$ and $G(x) = \int_0^x g(z) dz$.

• Recursion $x^{(\ell)} \to 0$ if f(g(z)) < z for $z \in (0, 1]$

• Coupled $\underline{x}^{(\ell)} \to \underline{0}$ as $w \to \infty$ if $U_{\rm s}(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0,1]$



Let the potential function $U_{s} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the scalar recursion be

$$U_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \triangleq \int_{0}^{x} \left(z - f\left(g(z)\right)\right) g'(z) \mathrm{d}z.$$



Let the potential function $U_{s} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the scalar recursion be

$$U_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \triangleq \int_{0}^{x} \left(z - f\left(g(z)\right)\right) g'(z) \mathrm{d}z.$$



Let the potential function $U_{\mathrm{s}} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the scalar recursion be

$$U_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \triangleq \int_{0}^{x} \left(z - f\left(g(z)\right)\right) g'(z) \mathrm{d}z.$$



Let the potential function $U_{\mathrm{s}} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the scalar recursion be

$$U_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \triangleq \int_{0}^{x} \left(z - f\left(g(z)\right)\right) g'(z) \mathrm{d}z.$$



Let the potential function $U_{\mathrm{s}} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the scalar recursion be

$$U_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \triangleq \int_{0}^{x} (z - f(g(z)))g'(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Threshold Saturation

If f(g(x)) < x for $x \in (0, \delta)$ and $\min_{x \in [\delta, 1]} U_{\mathbf{s}}(x) > 0$, then $\exists w_0 < \infty$: for $w > w_0$, only fixed point of coupled recursion is $\underline{x}^{(\infty)} = \mathbf{0}$



Let the potential function $U_{\mathrm{s}} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the scalar recursion be

$$U_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \triangleq \int_{0}^{x} (z - f(g(z)))g'(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Threshold Saturation

If f(g(x)) < x for $x \in (0, \delta)$ and $\min_{x \in [\delta, 1]} U_{s}(x) > 0$, then $\exists w_{0} < \infty$: for $w > w_{0}$, only fixed point of coupled recursion is $\underline{x}^{(\infty)} = \mathbf{0}$



Let the potential function $U_{\mathrm{s}} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the scalar recursion be

$$U_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \triangleq \int_{0}^{x} (z - f(g(z)))g'(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Threshold Saturation

If f(g(x)) < x for $x \in (0, \delta)$ and $\min_{x \in [\delta, 1]} U_{s}(x) > 0$, then $\exists w_{0} < \infty$: for $w > w_{0}$, only fixed point of coupled recursion is $\underline{x}^{(\infty)} = \mathbf{0}$

A Little History: Threshold Saturation Proofs

- ▶ the BEC by KRU in 2010
 - Established many properties and tools used by later approaches
- ▶ the Curie-Weiss model in physics by HMU in 2010
- CDMA using a GA by TTK in 2011
- CDMA with outer code via GA by Truhachev in 2011
- compressive sensing using a GA by DJM in 2011
- regular codes on BMS channels by KRU in 2012
- increasing scalar and vector recursions by YJNP in 2012
- ▶ irregular LDPC codes on BMS channels by KYMP in 2012
- non-decreasing scalar recursions by KRU in 2012

Review of LDPC Codes and Density Evolution

Spatially-Coupled Graphical Models

Universality for Multiuser Scenarios

Abstract Formulation of Threshold Saturation

Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker

Conclusions

A Brief Introduction to Spatially-Coupled Codes and Threshold Saturation

- ▶ Rate Distortion (RD) Problem
 - ► What is the minimum data rate to transmit a source with average distortion less than *D*?

- Rate Distortion (RD) Problem
 - ► What is the minimum data rate to transmit a source with average distortion less than *D*?
- Wyner-Ziv (WZ) Problem
 - WZ extends RD to the case of side-information at the decoder

- ► Rate Distortion (RD) Problem
 - ► What is the minimum data rate to transmit a source with average distortion less than *D*?
- Wyner-Ziv (WZ) Problem
 - WZ extends RD to the case of side-information at the decoder
- ▶ Gelfand-Pinsker (GP) Problem
 - Channel coding with non-causal side-information at transmitter

- ► Rate Distortion (RD) Problem
 - ► What is the minimum data rate to transmit a source with average distortion less than *D*?
- Wyner-Ziv (WZ) Problem
 - WZ extends RD to the case of side-information at the decoder
- ► Gelfand-Pinsker (GP) Problem
 - Channel coding with non-causal side-information at transmitter
- ▶ WZ and GP problems arise naturally in network information theory

Belief-Propagation Guided Decimation (BPGD)

- ► RD-type problems are challenging for graph codes with BP decoding
 - They require quantization of an arbitrary sequence to a codebook
 - BP converges only if received sequence is "close" to a codeword
 - But, vanishing fraction of total space is "close" to codewords

Belief-Propagation Guided Decimation (BPGD)

- RD-type problems are challenging for graph codes with BP decoding
 - ► They require quantization of an arbitrary sequence to a codebook
 - BP converges only if received sequence is "close" to a codeword
 - But, vanishing fraction of total space is "close" to codewords
- When the received vector is not "close" to a codeword
 - BP decoder typically converges to a non-informative fixed point
 - There are exponentially many codewords with low distortion
 - But, the decoder just cannot pick one
 - The bias of a bit is defined to be $|LLR| = \left| \ln \frac{P(X=0)}{P(X=1)} \right|$

Belief-Propagation Guided Decimation (BPGD)

- RD-type problems are challenging for graph codes with BP decoding
 - ► They require quantization of an arbitrary sequence to a codebook
 - BP converges only if received sequence is "close" to a codeword
 - But, vanishing fraction of total space is "close" to codewords
- When the received vector is not "close" to a codeword
 - BP decoder typically converges to a non-informative fixed point
 - There are exponentially many codewords with low distortion
 - But, the decoder just cannot pick one
 - The bias of a bit is defined to be $|LLR| = \left| \ln \frac{P(X=0)}{P(X=1)} \right|$
- ▶ To force convergence, bits are sequentially "decimated":
 - 1. The BP decoder is run for a fixed number of iterations
 - 2. A bit with large bias is sampled and "decimated"

Once Again, Spatial-Coupling Comes to the Rescue



Rate Distortion

- SC low-density generator matrix (LDGM) codes can approach the RD limit with BPGD [AMUV12]
- Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker
 - SC compound LDGM/LDPC codes can approach the WZ/GP limits with BPGD [KVNP14]

Review of LDPC Codes and Density Evolution

Spatially-Coupled Graphical Models

Universality for Multiuser Scenarios

Abstract Formulation of Threshold Saturation

Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker

Conclusions

Spatial Coupling

- Powerful technique for designing and understanding factor graphs
- Related to the statistical physics of supercooled liquids
- General proof of threshold saturation for scalar recursions
- Interesting Open Problems
 - Clever constructions to reduce the rate-loss due to termination
 - Finding new problems where SC gives real benefits
 - Proving SC codes with decimation achieve the rate-distortion limit

Thanks for your attention