On the Construction and Decoding of Cyclic LDPC Codes

Chao Chen

Joint work with Prof. Baoming Bai from Xidian University

April 30, 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Construction based on Idempotents and Modular Golomb Rulers
- 3. Construction based on (n, 2) Pseudo-Cyclic MDS Codes
- 4. Iterative Decoding Using Automorphism Group of Cyclic Codes

5. Simulation Results

-1. Introduction

Cyclic LDPC codes form an important class of structured LDPC codes.

- ► As cyclic codes, they can be simply encoded with shift register.
- As LDPC codes, they provide good performance under iterative decoding with a reasonable decoding complexity.
- They have relatively large minimum distance.
- Some codes can be transformed into quasi-cyclic (QC) codes through row and column permutations on the parity-check matrix.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

-1. Introduction

Some Known Constructions

- Construction based on finite geometries (Lin et al.)
- Construction based on idempotents (Shibuya et al., Tomlinson et al.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Construction based on matrix decomposition (Lin et al.)

-1. Introduction

Code Features

- The defining parity-check matrix is a circulant matrix or a column of circulant matrices.
- The party-check matrix is highly redundant.
- The column weight γ is relatively large.
- The corresponding Tanner graph is free of length-4 cycles.
- ► The minimum distance of the code is at least γ + 1. (Massey bound)

└-2. Construction based on Idempotents and Modular Golomb Rulers

Idempotent

Definition: Let R_n be the ring of residue classes of $F_q[x]$ modulo $x^n - 1$. Then a polynomial e(x) of R_n is called an *idempotent* if $e^2(x) = e(x)$.

Properties:

- ► For a cyclic code C, there exists a unique idempotent e(x) that generates C, called the generating idempotent of C.
- Let e[⊥](x) be the generating idempotent of C[⊥], the dual code of C, then e[⊥](x) = 1 − xⁿe(x⁻¹).

└-2. Construction based on Idempotents and Modular Golomb Rulers

Modular Golomb Ruler

Definition: A set of integers $\{a_i : 0 \le a_i < n, 1 \le i \le \gamma\}$ is called a *Golomb ruler modulo n with* γ *marks*, if the differences $(a_i - a_j)$ mod *n* are distinct for all ordered pairs (i, j) with $i \ne j$. An example:



Figure: A Golomb ruler modulo 31 with 6 marks.

An inherent constraint: $\gamma \times (\gamma - 1) \leq (n - 1)$.

-2. Construction based on Idempotents and Modular Golomb Rulers

Three Algebraic Constructions of Modular Golomb Rulers

- Singer construction (projective geometry plane)
- Bose construction (Euclidean geometry plane)
- Ruzza construction

└-2. Construction based on Idempotents and Modular Golomb Rulers

Code Definition

Consider a cyclic LDPC code C of length n over F_q , whose parity-check matrix is an $n \times n$ circulant

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & c_0 & \cdots & c_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The above code is specified by the polynomial

$$c(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

= $c_{a_1} x^{a_1} + c_{a_2} x^{a_2} \dots + c_{a_{\gamma}} x^{a_{\gamma}}$

where γ is the row weight of **H** and $c_{a_i} \neq 0$ $(i = 1, \dots, \gamma)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへぐ

└-2. Construction based on Idempotents and Modular Golomb Rulers

Main Results

If c(x) is an idempotent, then the minimum distance of C satisfies

$$d_{min} \leq \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \gamma+1, & ext{if} \ c_0=0; \ \gamma-1, & ext{if} \ c_0=1; \ \gamma, & ext{otherwise}. \end{array}
ight.$$

- The Tanner graph corresponding to H is free of length-4 cycles if and only if {a₁, a₂, · · · , a_γ} is a Golomb ruler modulo n with γ marks.
- ► According to Massey bound, if the Tanner graph is free of length-4 cycles, then d_{min} ≥ γ + 1.
- If c(x) is an idempotent and {a₁, a₂, · · · , a_γ} is a modular Golomb ruler, then the minimum distance of C is exactly γ + 1.

-3. Construction based on (n, 2) Pseudo-Cyclic MDS Codes

Preliminaries: Finite Field based Construction of QC-LDPC Codes (Lin et al.)

Let α be a primitive element of F_q , then $0, \alpha^0, \dots, \alpha^{q-2}$ give all elements of F_q . Let $\beta \in F_q$, then it can be mapped to a $(q-1) \times (q-1)$ binary matrix $A(\beta)$, as shown below.



The matrix $A(\beta)$ is called the *matrix dispersion* of β over F_2 .

-3. Construction based on (n, 2) Pseudo-Cyclic MDS Codes

Procedure for Finite Field based Construction

First construct an m × n matrix over F_q, called the base matrix.

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_{0} \\ \mathbf{w}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{0,0} & w_{0,1} & \cdots & w_{0,n-1} \\ w_{1,0} & w_{1,1} & \cdots & w_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{m-1,0} & w_{m-1,1} & \cdots & w_{m-1,n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then replace each entry of W by its matrix dispersion and form the following matrix as the parity-check matrix

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}) = \begin{bmatrix} A(w_{0,0}) & A(w_{0,1}) & \cdots & A(w_{0,n-1}) \\ A(w_{1,0}) & A(w_{1,1}) & \cdots & A(w_{1,n-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A(w_{m-1,0}) & A(w_{m-1,1}) & \cdots & A(w_{m-1,n-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへぐ

 \lfloor 3. Construction based on (*n*, 2) Pseudo-Cyclic MDS Codes

Design Constraint on ${\bf W}$

For
$$0 \le i,j \le m-1, i \ne j$$
 and $0 \le h,k \le q-2$,
 $d(\alpha^h \mathbf{w}_i, \alpha^k \mathbf{w}_j) \ge n-1$,

where d denotes the Hamming distance.

The constraint is called the α -multiplied row distance (RD) constraint, which guarantees that the Tanner graph corresponding to H(W) is free of length-4 cycles.

-3. Construction based on (n, 2) Pseudo-Cyclic MDS Codes

Pseudo-Cyclic Code and MDS Code

Definition: A linear block code of length *n* is a *pseudo-cyclic* code with parameter $\beta \in F_q$, if for any codeword $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$, its pseudo-cyclic $(\beta c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2})$ also forms a codeword.

Definition: An (n, k) linear block code is a maximum-distance-separable (MDS) code, if the minimum distance $d_{min} = n - k + 1$.

-3. Construction based on (n, 2) Pseudo-Cyclic MDS Codes

Code Construction

Consider the the following $n \times n$ matrix over F_q

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-2} & w_{n-1} \\ \alpha w_{n-1} & w_0 & \cdots & w_{n-3} & w_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha w_2 & \alpha w_3 & \cdots & w_0 & w_1 \\ \alpha w_1 & \alpha w_2 & \cdots & \alpha w_{n-1} & w_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the rows are codewords of a (n, 2) pseudo-cyclic MDS code with $\beta = \alpha$.

It can be proved that the ${\bf W}$ satisfies the $\alpha\text{-multiplied}$ RD constraint.

Through matrix dispersion, the obtained H(W) defines a QC-LDPC code.

 \lfloor 3. Construction based on (*n*, 2) Pseudo-Cyclic MDS Codes

Main Result

From the above QC-LDPC code, a cyclic LDPC code can be obtained by transforming H(W) to a circulant parity-check matrix through row and column permutations.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

4. Iterative Decoding Using Automorphism Group of Cyclic Codes

Automorphism Group of a Code

Definition: Let C be a binary linear block code of length n. The set of coordinate permutations that map C to itself forms a group under composition operation. The group is called the *automorphism group* of C, denoted by Aut(C).

For a binary cyclic code of odd length n, the automorphism group contains the following two cyclic subgroups:

• S_0 : The set of permutations $\tau^0, \tau^1, \cdots, \tau^{n-1}$, where

$$\tau^k: j \to (j+k) \bmod n.$$

▶ S_1 : The set of permutations $\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \cdots, \zeta^{m-1}$, where

$$\zeta^k: j \to (2^k \cdot j) \bmod n,$$

and *m* is the smallest positive integer such that $2^m \equiv 1 \mod n$.

4. Iterative Decoding Using Automorphism Group of Cyclic Codes

Properties of Aut(C)

- Let C^{\perp} be the dual code of C, then $Aut(C^{\perp}) = Aut(C)$.
- ▶ Let $\pi \in Aut(C)$. If **H** is a parity-check matrix of *C*, then π **H** also forms a parity-check matrix of *C*.

4. Iterative Decoding Using Automorphism Group of Cyclic Codes

Decoder Diversity

- Two party-check matrices are called *non-equivalent* if they cannot be obtained from each other only by row permutations.
- ► The basic idea is to construct multiple non-equivalent parity-check matrices based on Aut(C). Different decoding attempts can be made on these parity-check matrices, thus providing decoder diversity gain.

4. Iterative Decoding Using Automorphism Group of Cyclic Codes

Main Results

- For cyclic LDPC codes constructed from idempotents and modular Golomb rulers, S₀ and S₁ cannot be used to generate non-equivalent parity-check matrices.
- For cyclic LDPC codes constructed from pseudo-cyclic MDS codes with two information symbols, S₁ can be used to generate non-equivalent parity-check matrices.

5. Simulation Results

Simulation Results

A (341, 160) cyclic LDPC code is constructed from (31, 2) pseudo-cyclic MDS code over F_{32} . The BPSK modulation over AWGN channel is assumed. The maximum number of iterations is set to be 100.



References

- Y. Kou, S. Lin, and M. P. C. Fossorier, "Low-density parity-check codes based on finite geometries: A rediscovery and new results," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 2711-2736, Nov. 2001.
- T. Shibuya and K. Sakaniwa, "Construction of cyclic codes suitable for iterative decoding via generating idempotents," *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, vol. E86-A, no. 4, pp. 928-939, Apr. 2003.

R. Horan, C. Tjhai, M. Tomlinson, M. Ambroze, and M. Ahmed, "Idempotents, Mattson-Solomon polynomials and binary LDPC codes," *IEE Proc. Commun.*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 256-262, Apr. 2006.

Q. Huang, Q. Diao, S. Lin, and K. Abdel-Ghaffar, "Cyclic and quasi-cyclic LDPC codes on row and column constrained parity-check matrices and their trapping sets," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 2648-2671, May 2012.

References

- C. Chen, B. Bai, Z. Li, X. Yang, and L. Li, "Nonbinary cyclic LDPC codes derived from idempotents and modular Golomb rulers," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 661-668, Mar. 2012.
- L. Lan, L.-Q. Zeng, Y. Y. Tai, L. Chen, S. Lin, and K. Abdel-Ghaffar, "Construction of quasi-cyclic LDPC codes for AWGN and binary erasure channels: A finite field approach," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 2429-2458, Jul. 2007.

C. Chen, B. Bai, X. Yang, L. Li, and Y. Yang "Enhancing iterative decoding using their automorphim groups," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 661-668, Mar. 2012.

Thanks!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ