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Random Access Schemes Overview

- Slotted ALOHA (SA) [Abramson1970] is currently adopted as the initial access scheme in both cellular terrestrial and satellite communication networks.

- A more efficient use of the packet repetition is provided by contention resolution diversity slotted ALOHA (CRDSA) [Casini2007].

- A generalization of CRDSA is represented by irregular repetition slotted ALOHA (IRSA) [Liva2011].

- A generalization of CRDSA/IRSA for “fractional” number of replicas is represented by coded slotted ALOHA (CSA) [Paolini2011].

A few unslotted schemes on the same line were also developed by DLR.
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Contestation Resolution Diversity Slotted ALOHA (CRDSA)

- Each user sends two replicas of the same packet in the same frame.
- Each of the transmitted twin replicas has a pointer to the slot position where the respective copy was sent.
- Idea: adopt interference cancellation (IC) to resolve collisions.
  - If a packet replica is detected and successfully decoded, the pointer is extracted and the interference contribution caused by the packet replica on the corresponding slot is removed.
  - Procedure iterated, hopefully yielding the recovery of the whole set of packets transmitted within the same MAC frame.
- Peak normalized throughput:
  \[ T \simeq 0.55 \] (CRDSA with 2 replicas) and
  \[ T \simeq 0.68 \] (CRDSA with 4 replicas)
  versus \( T = \frac{1}{e} \simeq 0.37 \) achieved by SA.
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CRDSA and CRA Examples
CRA Performance

uncoded CRA: Packet reception is successful if one fully interference-free replica is received.
CRA Performance

coded CRA: QPSK with an LDPC (1024,512), SNR=10 dB
One replica may still be decoded if partly interfered (depending on the code)
CRA Performance

- For unslotted schemes, there is a trade-off between throughput and spectral efficiency, $\eta$:
  - lowering $\eta$ (w.r.t. channel capacity) brings more robustness to interference (collisions), and thus increases the throughput,
  - the optimal $\eta$ depends on the SNR.
- Let’s consider a benchmark threshold, from the Shannon bound computed over portions of the packet with uniform SN(I)R:
  $$C(x) = (1 - x) \log_2(1 + \text{SNR}) + x \log_2(1 + \text{SNIR})$$
  where $x$ is the fraction of the packet interfered by 1 user with same TX power.
Outline

1. Background
2. Contention Resolution ALOHA (CRA)
3. Enhanced Contention Resolution ALOHA (ECRA)
4. Stability of CRDSA
5. Real-life systems
6. Conclusions
Coded slotted ALOHA (CSA) and ECRA Examples
**ECRA Performance**

- Different techniques are possible to encode/decode the replicas:
  - Selection Combining (SC),
  - Maximum-Ratio Combining (MRC),
  - Single codeword split over multiple packets,
  - Pkt-level code over the replicas (ECRA+?).

- Gains depend on $\eta$ and SNR.

- For some schemes there is an additional coding gain.
CRA and ECRA: Summary

- CRDSA and IRSA exploit repetitions and SIC in the slotted case.
- CSA builds codewords over multiple packets/slots and attempts decoding, even if some packets/slots are erased.
- It is possible, by means of Graph-Based Density Evolution Analysis, to derive a Capacity Bound, i.e. the maximum reliable throughput for a given repetition rate [PaoliniLiva2011].
- CRA and Irregular-Repetition CRA (IRCRA) exploit repetitions and SIC in the unslotted case.
- ECRA(+) breaks one (or builds pkt-level) codeword over multiple unslotted replicas, and attempts joint decoding over them (pkts may be partially interfered).
- Is it possible to derive a dual model for the unslotted case?
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CRDSA with retransmissions

- Retransmission (ReTx) mechanism ensure a reliable packet delivery, but create *instability* problems.
- New (*fresh*) transmissions occur with probability $p_0$.
- Retransmission occur with probability $p_r$ in every transmission opportunity (geometric distribution).
- We have finite user population $M$, and frames of $N_S$ slots.
- Total load determined by two components:
  - Fresh offered traffic fluctuates statistically;
  - Retransmissions add on top of fresh transmissions (backlogged traffic).
- Question: How are the stability properties of CRDSA w.r.t. slotted ALOHA? Is the gain in throughput achieved at the cost of stability?
CRDSA with retransmissions

- Let us define a Markov chain with state variable, $X_B(l)$, the number of users in backlog at time $l$.
- The major differences to the slotted ALOHA (SA) analysis by Kleinrock are:
  - We don’t have a closed-form expression for the throughput,
  - In SA the number of backlogged users, $X_B$ can decrease of 1 per slot, in CRDSA of more than 1.
- We are interested in the drift, $d(x_B) = \mathbb{E}\{X_B(l+1) - X_B(l) | X_B(l) = x_B\}$.
- It is:
  $$d(x_B) = \mathbb{E}\{\Phi\} - \mathbb{E}\{\Upsilon\}$$
  where $\Phi$ is the number of fresh transmissions, and $\Upsilon$ is the number of successful transmissions, per frame.
- Then:
  $$d(x_B) = (M - x_B)p_0 - N_ST(G(x_B))$$
  where $G(x_B) = (M - x_B)p_0 + x_Bp_r$. 
CRDSA with retransmissions
Retransmissions: CRDSA vs. SA

Select optimum $p_r$ such to:

- Minimize the average delay $D^x_b$, for fixed user population $M$ and fixed $p_0$
- Maximize the size of the user population $M$, for fixed $p_0$ and average delay $D_b$
- Maximize the supported $p_0$, for fixed $M$ and $D_b$
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Automatic Identification System (AIS)

- Designed in the 90’s to identify vessels, in order to improve safety and sea surveillance.
- Physical layer: 2 VHF channels $\sim 160$ MHz, with 9.6 Kbit/s bit rate, GMSK, no FEC.
- MAC: mainly based on Self-Organized TDMA (SOTDMA), clusters of transmitters can prevent collisions, hidden terminal problem remains, but unlikely.
- Range up to $\sim 70$ Km: designed for ship-to-ship or ship-to-shore communications.
- Today a few satellites exist that listen to AIS messages:
  - Vesselsat, 3 satellites (Luxspace/Orbcomm),
  - AISSat-1 (Norwegian),
  - Canadian-based exactEarth operates the largest network (5 satellites),
  - AAUSAT3, a cubesat from Aalborg Univ. (Denmark), with a traditional and an SDR-based receiver,
  - DLR AISat, with an helical antenna, to be launched in 2014,
  - ...
Automatic Identification System (AIS)

- At the satellite AIS traffic is seen as a Slotted ALOHA channel [Clazzer2014].
- A wide range of MAC channel load is perceived by a LEO satellite along its orbit.
- High-load regions (i.e. densely ship populated area) translate into poor tracking frequency for the vessels.
- Optimization of AIS-pkt transmission rates is possible, to maximizes the tracking frequency from the satellite (exploiting simple properties of SA).

![Graph showing channel load vs throughput]

Heinrich Herz Satellite (H2Sat)

- Features:
  - Geostationary Satellite, being developed under German funding (DLR)
  - Ka band (∼ 30 GHz uplink, ∼ 20 GHz downlink)
  - Launch expected beginning 2017
- The availability of a small On-Board Processing (OBP) payload is under study:
  - Reconfigurable for different experiments,
  - A/D & D/A-converters, Memory, Processor,
  - Temporarily switchable to transparent Transponder,
  - operating under real Ka-band conditions.
Other systems

- Cubesats (currently 133 on Wikipedia, counting planned, launched, and under development),
  - started in 1999 by California Polytechnic State University (Cal Poly) and Stanford University, with the idea to standardize a picosat shape to fit in a given Orbital Deployer (10 x 10 x 30 cm),
  - very cheap to develop (many COTS components available), and to launch (standard shape), a few months of life can be considered for a well-developed one,
  - easy and exciting way to have a on-field test of communication system, a few SDR-based ones are already flying.

- Global Sensor Network, funded by the Australian Space Research Program (ASRP):
  - Project awarded to Prof. Alex Grant, Univ. of South Australia, Institute forTelecommunications Research.
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Conclusion

- Novel schemes for the unslotted Aloha show promising performance.
- The trade-off between spectral efficiency and throughput is not fully understood, yet.
- A comprehensive analysis from a theoretical point of view would be desirable (in a way similar to what was done for the slotted cases?).
- There are a number of (satellite) systems where these schemes would be good candidate solutions, and a few scenarios that would allow their (SDR-based) implementation and test under real conditions.
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